

PERINTON TOWN BOARD MEETING  
1350 Turk Hill Road, Fairport, NY 14450  
Wednesday, January 10, 2007

PRESENT: James E. Smith Supervisor  
David C. Glossner Councilperson  
Carolyn H. Saum Councilperson  
Joseph H. LaFay Councilperson

ABSENT: Patricia S. Knapp Councilperson

ALSO PRESENT: Robert Place, Esq., Town Attorney; Thomas C. Beck, Commissioner of Public Works; W. Scott Copp, Director, Building Department; Katherine Kramer, Assessor; Susan C. Roberts, Town Clerk; T. C. Lewis, Planning Board; S. Chris Fredette, Conservation Board.

Supervisor Smith called the meeting to order at 8:00 pm and introduced the Board and staff present.

Councilperson Saum made a motion, seconded by Councilperson LaFay, that the minutes of the Town Board meeting of December 27, 2006 and the 2007 Organizational Meeting minutes be approved as submitted by the Town Clerk.

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum, LaFay

Nays: None

Unanimously approved.

PUBLIC HEARING  
SPECIAL USE PERMIT  
TWO FAMILY HOUSE  
314 ALDRICH ROAD

Supervisor Smith opened the Public Hearing and asked the Clerk for proof of publication and affidavit of posting. Proof of publication was given in the Perinton Fairport Post on December 28, 2006; affidavit of posting was also December 28, 2006.

Supervisor Smith explained that the purpose of the Public Hearing was to hear both the applicant and the audience in regard to this proposal. Members of the audience would have the opportunity to both ask questions about the application and make comments to the Board.

Mark Contino, San Ramon, CA, addressed the Board. He said that he would be building the proposed duplex as a residence for his brother and his parents. He then introduced his brother, James Contino, 25 Hyacinth Lane, to explain the proposal to the Board members and the audience.

James Contino said that the proposal is to build an up-scale two-family home at 314 Aldrich Road. He would occupy one side, his parents the other. He said that the property is zoned Residential B and is approved for construction of a single-family residence. The project would provide the diverse living style recommended in the Town's Master Plan, without apartment complex living. He said that the proposed building would be compatible with the neighborhood; the footprint of the proposed building would be similar to the footprint of the single-family house at 312 Aldrich Road. He did not believe that the duplex would generate any more traffic than a single-family house.

Mr. Contino said the Town has a history of allowing multi-family living near its parks - at Beechwoods Park, for example. In this case, the house would be screened from the park.

In summary, Mr. Contino said that the size of the building is compatible with the neighborhood, there would be a live-in owner, the activity level would be similar to a single family residence and it would be screened from the park.

Attorney Place asked what the size of the house would be. Mr. Contino said it would be 2900 - 3000 sq. ft. The submitted plans show a building of 3,000+ sq. ft.

Councilperson Glossner asked Mr. Contino to define upscale. Mr. Contino said that construction costs for the house would be more than \$300,000. Councilperson Glossner said that the median price for a home in Perinton was \$185,000; doubled, since the proposal is for a duplex, would be \$370,000. Mr. Contino said the \$300,000 figure is for the house only, it does not include land costs.

Councilperson Saum asked if they owned the land. Mr. Contino said they did not, they have an option to buy the parcel.

Councilperson Glossner said that there were few similarities between White Brook and Beechwoods Parks; White Brook is a nature center, Beechwoods a pocket park. He said he is concerned about the impact a duplex would have on White Brook Park. Mr. Contino said that the duplex would not be near the nature center but would border an open field. He said the building would be invisible from the park.

Councilperson Saum asked Mr. Contino if he owned other rental property. He said he did not. She asked what the rent would be for the duplex units. He said it would be \$9 - \$10 per square foot.

A man in the audience, who lived next door to the proposed site, commented on the size of the building. He said that his home was 1,800 sq. ft., the proposed duplex is 3,000 sq. ft. - nearly double the size of his home. Mr. Contino said that the proposed house is two-story. The footprint is the same but the square footage is larger. He said that the duplex garage is smaller and the appearance from the road is of a smaller house.

The woman who lives at 312 Aldrich Road stated her objections to the proposal. She said that the two properties share a driveway, which is only 200 feet long. She did not think it could support three households - especially if there were multiple cars in each household.

She also had concerns if the plans were to change and the duplex were not owner occupied. She said that the original plan for the development of the two lots was for two single-family houses. They bought one of the lots and developed it as proposed, knowing that the second parcel would be developed the same way. She asked the Board to follow that original intent and not allow the duplex.

A man in the audience asked if a 3,000 sq. ft. single family house could be built on the lot. Building Director Copp said it could. The man asked if a single family house with an in-law apartment could be built on the site. Mr. Copp said it could. The man said he was a neighbor of James Contino and spoke in favor of the project. He said that Mr. Contino is a good neighbor who takes care of his property.

Supervisor Smith said that he has concerns with the proposal. He said that, when these parcels were subdivided from the parent parcel, the intent was that they be residential with small ranch houses built on them. The seller preferred residential development on these two lots to having them become park land; the contract for purchase of the majority of the parent parcel says that two single-family houses will be constructed. Supervisor Smith said he had problems with the construction of a duplex, rather than a single-family house as originally planned - especially since the topography of the site will make the construction of such a structure difficult.

Mr. Contino asked why the Town was allowing a new subdivision in the area. Supervisor Smith said that the development was for single-family houses on large lots. Mr. Contino asked if the objection was because it was a duplex or because of the

size of the house. Supervisor Smith said that, in his opinion, the proposal was too large for the lot.

There being no further questions from the audience or the Board, and all those wishing to be heard having been heard, the Public Hearing was closed.

Supervisor Smith made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Glossner, that the application for a Special Use Permit for construction of a duplex at 314 Aldrich Road be denied because, in reviewing Section 208-54, Special Permits, the proposed use would not be compatible with the character of the neighborhood, particularly with regard to visibility, scale and overall appearance; the topography of the site is not suitable for the proposed use; the property does not have sufficient, appropriate and adequate area for the use, given the topography and the shared driveway; adequate facilities do not exist or cannot be integrated into the site to properly deal with stormwater runoff and because the natural characteristics of the site (the grade) are such that the proposed use may not be introduced without undue disturbance or disruption of important natural features.

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum, LaFay

Nays: None

Unanimously approved

PUBLIC HEARING  
EXTENSION #51 TO PERINTON  
CONSOLIDATED SEWER DISTRICT #8  
PINECREST

Supervisor Smith opened the Public Hearing and asked the Clerk for proof of publication and affidavit of posting. Proof of publication was given in the Perinton Fairport Post on December 28, 2006; affidavit of posting was also December 28, 2006.

Commissioner Beck said that many residences in the Pinecrest Subdivision have aging or failing septic systems and are in need of replacement, which a sanitary sewer system would provide. The Department of Public Works has met with the neighbors several times; the majority of the residents are in favor of sewer construction. The current reconstruction of Rte 96 makes sewer construction feasible.

Supervisor Smith asked if there were any questions from the audience.

A man in the audience asked if the houses around Lake Lacoma would be served by sewers. Commissioner Beck said that, at the property owners' request, they would not. The man said that he worried that pollution from those septic systems could be a problem for the lakes and ponds in the area. Mr. Beck said a connection near Lacoma Lane would be part of the construction. This would accommodate these homes should they desire to hook up in the future.

A man from Kendon Road asked if any of the sewage would be pumped. Commissioner Beck said that a few of the homes may require pumps to get their sewage from the houses to the main; once there, the sewers would be gravity flow from Pinecrest to Rte 96 to the Monroe County interceptor. The man asked if the sewers would be built in the roadways. Commissioner Beck said that, for the most part, they would.

A woman in the audience asked about dust issues. Commissioner Beck said that they would try to keep the dust down but that there would be some dust created. He said that residents should call his department if the dust became a real problem.

A man from 58 Kurt Road asked about a tentative timeline. Commissioner Beck said that, if the Board approved district formation at this meeting, there would be a 30 day time for Permissive Referendum. After the 30 days the financing would be approved, followed by a 20 day estoppel period. He hoped to bid the project in February, open the bids in March and begin construction within 30 days of awarding the bid. He anticipated that construction would be completed in 2007 and the final paving and seeding would be done in 2008.



2. Map, plan, and report, prepared by Timothy Oakes, Town Engineer, dated October 2006 showing the location of each residence.
3. The Order for Hearing made by the Town Board on December 28, 2006.
4. The Affidavit of Publication of the Perinton-Fairport Post showing the publication of a certified copy of the Order for Hearing on December 28, 2006.
5. The Affidavit of Susan C. Roberts, showing that a copy of the Order for Hearing and Estimated Costs were posted on the Sign Board maintained by the Town Clerk on December 28, 2006.
6. The report of James E. Smith, Supervisor, stating that on December 19, 2006 he mailed to each resident, a letter advising them of the public hearing and enclosed a statement of Estimated Costs and a copy of the Order for Hearing.
7. Report dated December 18, 2006 from the Perinton Conservation Board recommending that the Town Board register and file a negative environmental declaration for this project.
8. A letter of Robert M. Place, Special Counsel, dated January 5, 2007, mailing a certified copy of the Order for Hearing to NYS Office of State Comptroller, as required by the rules and regulations of the State of New York, and enclosing a copy of the estimated costs for the proposed extension.

The Supervisor directed that the foregoing documentary evidence, if not already filed, be filed with the Town Clerk and considered a part of the record of this hearing.

The Supervisor explained that this proceeding is on motion of the Town Board pursuant to Article 12-A of the Town Law. The adoption of a resolution for the creation of the sewer district extension will be subject to a permissive referendum, if such referendum is requested by proper petition filed with the Town Clerk within 30 days after the date of adoption of this resolution.

The Supervisor asked if there were any questions in regards to the formation of the District. There were none. The Supervisor declared the hearing closed and directed the Town Board to consider the evidence produced at the hearing and to take action on the map, plan and report.

The members of the Town Board then examined the documents presented in evidence and considered the statements made at the hearing and after thorough discussion and due deliberation, the following Resolution was offered by Councilperson Glossner and was seconded by Councilperson LaFay.

WHEREFORE Councilperson Saum has made a motion to grant this project a Negative Declaration adopting the recommendation of the Conservation Board dated December 18, 2006

WHEREAS, the Board has examined the map, plan and report prepared by Timothy Oakes, Town Engineer, the proofs of posting and publication, the recommendation of the Perinton Conservation Board and has considered all other documents and statements presented to it and has examined into whether all of the property to be benefited by the proposed Extension was included therein and whether any property has been included therein which would not be benefited, and

WHEREAS, the Town has reviewed the potential environmental effects of the project and has complied with the requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), and has issued a Negative Declaration.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS DETERMINED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Order for Hearing was posted and published as required by law.
2. All the property and property owners within the proposed Extension are benefited thereby.
3. All the property and property owners benefited are included within the limits of the proposed Extension.
4. It is in the public's interest to establish said Extension as described in the map, plan, and report.
5. That the maximum amount to be expended for the construction of said sewer system with all necessary appurtenances for the operation thereof is estimated to be \$3,550,000 and that the cost of construction of said improvements by the issuance of bond anticipation notes and bonds, the principal and interest of which are to be repaid in annual installments by the entire are comprising Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8.
6. The proposed Extension was processed as an unlisted action, and a Negative Declaration has been issued and distributed. The temporary disturbance of soils and vegetation will be more than offset by the long term benefits of eliminating septic systems on developed lots and providing storm sewers for the homes located in the district.
7. The area to be established as Extension No. 51 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 is described as follows:

ALL THOSE TRACTS OR PARCELS OF LAND, situate in the Town of Perinton, County of Monroe, State of New York, and hereinafter designated as Extension No. 51 to Perinton Consolidate Sewer District No. 8 and more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the southeasterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-055; thence

1. Westerly, along the southerly boundary's of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-055, 179.18 -0001-054 and Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-053 a distance of approximately 404 feet to the northeasterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-060; thence
2. Southeasterly, along the easterly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-060 and 179.18-0001-061 a distance of approximately 434 feet to the southeasterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-061; thence
3. Westerly, along the southerly boundary of Tax Account Nos.179.18-0001-061 thru 179.18-0001-070 a distance of approximately 1427 feet to the southwesterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-070; thence
4. Northerly, along the westerly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-005, a distance of approximately 326 feet to the southwesterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-006; thence
5. Northerly, along the westerly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-006 and Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-007 a distance of approximately 203 feet to the southwesterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-008; thence
6. Northwesterly, along the westerly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-008, and Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-009 a distance of approximately 226 feet to the northwesterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-009; thence
7. Easterly, along the northerly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-009, a distance of approximately 264 feet to a point on the westerly boundary of Kurt Road; thence

8. Northerly, along said westerly boundary of Kurt Road, a distance of approximately 20 feet to the southeasterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-010; thence
9. Westerly, along the southerly boundary of Tax Account Nos. 179.18-0001-010, a distance of approximately 249 feet to the southwesterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-010; thence
10. Northwesterly along the westerly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-010, a distance of approximately 362 feet to the northwesterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-011; thence
11. Easterly, along the northerly boundary of Tax Account Nos. 179.18-0001-011 thru Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-022, a distance of approximately 1507 feet, to the northeasterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-022; thence
12. Southeasterly, along the easterly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-022, a distance of approximately 98 feet, to the northwesterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-030; thence
13. Easterly, along the northerly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-030, a distance of approximately 100 feet to the northeasterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-030, thence
14. Southeasterly, along the easterly boundary of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-030, a distance of approximately 132 feet to the northwesterly corner of Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-029; thence
15. Easterly, along the northerly boundary of Tax Account Nos. 179.18-0001-029, thru Tax Account No. 179.18-0001-027, and continuing to the centerline of Pittsford-Victor Road, NYS Rte. 96, a distance of approximately 351 feet; thence
16. Southeasterly, along the centerline of Pittsford-Victor Road, NYS Rte. 96, said centerline also being a portion of the westerly bounds of the existing Sanitary Sewer District 4-155, Powder Mill, a distance of approximately 540 feet to a point; thence
17. Southwesterly, a distance of approximately 56 feet to the point of beginning.

The foregoing described land is shown on a map entitled Extension No. 51 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 - Pinecrest Sanitary Sewer made by Larsen Engineers, competent engineers duly licensed by the State of New York showing the boundaries of the proposed Extension No. 51 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8, and indicating the transmission to the Van Lare Sewage Treatment Plant which is operated by the Monroe County Pure Waters Agency. There is an existing contract between the Town of Perinton and the Monroe County Pure Waters Agency for transmission and treatment of sewage.

8. That Extension No. 51 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 is hereby established, as of this date, to include the real property described in paragraph 7 above.
9. That pursuant to the requirements of Section 90 of the Town Law, that within ten (10) days after the adoption by the Town Board of this resolution establishing said Extension the Town Clerk shall give notice thereof, at the expense of the Town, by the publication of a notice in the Perinton-Fairport Post, a newspaper regularly published in Monroe County and having general circulation within the proposed Extension. In addition, the Town Clerk shall post, or cause to be posted, on the bulletin board in her office a copy of such notice. Such notice shall set forth the date of adoption of the resolution and contain an abstract of such resolution, describing the general terms,

the proposed Extension and that such resolution was adopted subject to a permissive referendum.

On roll call, directed by the Supervisor, the following votes were recorded:

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum and LaFay  
Nays: None  
Unanimously approved

Whereupon the Supervisor declared the foregoing determination and resolution of approval of the creation of Extension No. 51 of to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 adopted.

ORDER FOR HEARING  
CONSERVATION EASEMENTS  
2007

Katherine Kramer, Assessor, reported that, pursuant to the Conservation Easement Law, Chapter 103 of the Code of the Town of Perinton, owners of various parcels of real property in the Town of Perinton have made proposals to the Conservation Board for Conservation Easements. Five of the proposed easements are renewals; four proposals are for new easements. She said that all but one of the proposed easements have received favorable recommendations from the Perinton Conservation Board. The one which is not endorsed by the Conservation Board is for 5.92 acres on Thayer Road.

Assessor Kramer said that there were two types of easement: conservation and agricultural. Each provided a tax break for the property owner; the degree of relief depended on the length of the easement. If owners choose to break the easement they are required to pay back up to five years of back taxes plus a penalty amount. The penalty funds are deposited in the Town's Open Space Capital Reserve Fund which is then used to purchase open space.

She asked the Board to set a date for a Public Hearing to review the following proposed easements:

<u>Tax Acct #</u>	<u>Owner/Property Location</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>School/Yrs/Type</u>
140.04-1-44.1	Warren & Elizabeth Peters Fellows Road Fairport, NY 14450	32.64	Fairport/15/Farming
154.01-1-49 154.01.1-50	James & Rebecca Krause 100 & 102 Wakeman Road Fairport, NY 14450	14.08	Fairport/5/Conservation
154.01-1-53.1	William & Darlee Provinski 2495 Whitney road East Fairport, NY 14450	17.04	Faiport/5/Farming
154.02-1-1.1 154.02-1-1.2	Douglas & Colleen E. Fein 2500 Whitney Road East Fairport, NY 14450	21.00	Penfield/15/Conservation
180.02-1-48.11	Thomas R. Toolan Jr. 149 Loud Road Fairport, NY 14450	10.53	Fairport/10/Conservation

180.04-1-9	William C. Colman 320 Thayer Road Fairport, NY 14450	5.92	Fairport/15/Conservation
195.01-1-10	John DeSeyn Wilkinson Road Macedon, NY	27.00	Victor/9/Conservation
195.01-1-16	Alvina J. Kier 6766 Spring Creek Drive Victor, NY 14564	5.09	Victor/5/Conservation
195.01-1-15.211	Nancy M. LaRouche Ryan Road Macedon, NY	10.17	Victor.5/Conservation

A motion was made by Councilperson Saum, seconded by Councilperson LaFay, that February 14, 2007 at 8:00 pm at the Perinton Town Hall, 1350 Turk Hill Road, Fairport NY be set as the date, time and place for a Public Hearing to review the proposed 2007 Conservation Easements.

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum, LaFay  
Nays: None  
Unanimously approved

DECISION  
AMENDMENTS TO SECTIONS 186-7 & 186-23  
CODE OF THE TOWN OF PERINTON  
EXEMPTIONS

Assessor Kramer said that, on December 13, 2006, the Town Board reviewed changes to Section 186-7, Senior Citizens Exemptions and 186-23, Persons with Disabilities and Limited Income Exemptions. At that time the Board decided it would not make changes to the Town Code until the Monroe County Legislature approved the changes for County taxes. Those changes were approved by the Legislature on January 9, 2007. She asked the Board to approve the changes to the Code of the Town of Perinton.

A motion was made by Councilperson Glossner, seconded by Councilperson Saum, that the following changes to Sections 186-7 and 186-23 be adopted by the Town of Perinton:

**ARTICLE II, Senior Citizens Exemption**

**186-7. Exceptions.**

No exemptions shall be granted:

- A. If the income of the owner or the combined income of the owners of the property for the income tax year immediately preceding the date of making application for the exemptions exceeds the maximum income level, except as provided in § 186-6. The maximum income level (MIL) constituting eligibility for this exemption is \$26,000, thence in \$1,000 increments annually through 2010. "Income tax year" shall mean the twelve-month period for which the owner or owners filed a federal personal income tax return or, if no such return is filed, the calendar year. Where title is vested in either husband or wife, their combined income may not exceed such sum. Such income shall include social security and retirement benefits, interest, dividends, total gain from the sale or exchange of a capital asset which may be offset by a loss from the sale or exchange of a capital asset in the same income tax year, net rental income, salary or earnings and net income from self

employment but shall not include the federal foster grandparent program, and any such income shall be offset by all medical and prescription drug expenses actually paid which were not reimbursed or paid for by insurance.

**ARTICLE IV, Persons with Disabilities and Limited Income Exemption**

**§ 186-23. Property exempt from taxation.**

- A. Pursuant to the authority granted by § 459-c of the Real Property Tax Law, real property in the Town of Perinton owned by one or more persons with disabilities or real property owned by a husband, wife, or both, or by siblings, at least one of whom has a disability, and whose income or the combined income of the owners, as defined by § 459-c of the Real Property Tax Law, is limited by reason of such disability, shall be exempt from taxation by the Town of Perinton to the maximum extent allowed by § 459-c of the Real Property Tax Law.
- B. [Amended 12-22-1999 by L.L. No. 4-1999] No exemption shall be granted if the income of the owner or the combined income of the owners of the property, for the income tax year immediately preceding the date of making application for exemption, exceeds the maximum income level (MIL) of \$26,000, thence in \$1,000 increments annually through 2010, except that, if the aforesaid income is more than the MIL, then such real property shall be exempt to the extent provided in the following schedule:

<b>Sliding scale for Exemptions</b>	<b>Exemption Percentage</b>
Less than \$26,000	50%
\$26,000 to \$26,999.99	45%
\$27,000 to \$27,999.99	40%
\$28,000 to \$28,999.99	35%
\$29,000 to \$29,999.99	30%
\$29,900 to \$30,799.99	25%
\$30,800 to \$31,699.99	20%
\$31,700 to \$32,599.99	15%
\$32,600 to \$33,499.99	10%
\$33,500 to \$34,400.00	5%

thence in \$1,000 increments annually through 2010.

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum, LaFay

Nays: None

Unanimously approved

Supervisor Smith said that bids for construction of a boat dock at Kreag Road Park were opened on December 27, 2006. Seven bids were received. The low base bid, in the amount of \$59,850, was received from Wind-Sun Construction.

Town of Perinton consultant Peter Trowbridge of Trowbridge and Wolf, Landscape Architects, has contacted references for Wind-Sun Construction. Based on responses from those references he has recommended the bid be awarded to Wind-Sun Construction.

In a memo dated January 4, 2007, Commissioner of Recreation and Parks James Donahue has requested that the Town Board award the bid for construction of the boat dock at Kreag Road Park to Wind-Sun Construction. He further requests that the Board award both the base bid and the two alternatives. The base bid, \$59,850, is for construction of a 60' boat dock. Alternate #1, for \$8,000, provides additional service for repairing the rip rap stone in and around the dock supports. Alternate #2, for \$3,000, is for the use of recycled materials instead of wood, which will result in less maintenance. The total cost for the base bid and two alternates is \$70,850.



## HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT

### ITEM #1 ONE NEW 2007 LONG BOX, REGULAR CAB, 4X4 ¾ TON HEAVY DUTY PICKUP TRUCKS

Commissioner Beck recommended the bid be awarded to Spurr Chevrolet, Inc., 6325 Brockport-Spencerport Road, Brockport, NY 14420, for a 2007 Chevy Silverado Model # CK20903 for \$25,466.00. Spurr was the only bidder on this item. Its bid was less than the budgeted amount of \$26,000.

### ITEM #2 ONE NEW 2007 SUPER DUTY 4X2, 6 WHEELED CAB & CHASSIS

Commissioner Beck recommended the bid be awarded to Van Bortel Ford, Inc., 7325 Rte 96, Victor, NY 14564, for a 2008 Ford F-550 as it was the lowest bidder. The bid amount was \$31,890.00.

### ITEM #5 ONE NEW 2007 DIESEL-POWERED LEAF VACUUM

Commissioner Beck recommended this bid be awarded to The O.D.B. Company, Inc., 5118 Glen Alden Drive, 5118 Glen Alden Drive, Richmond, VA 23231 for a 2007 ODB Model LCT60C as it was the lowest bidder. The bid amount was \$15,389.00

### ITEM #10 TWO NEW HYDRO-TURN, STRAIGHT-BLADE, STAINLESS STEEL, FRONT-MOUNT SNOW PLOWS: ONE 8 FOOT, ONE 9 FOOT

Commissioner Beck recommended that the bid be awarded to Thruway Spring, 1609 Mt. Read Boulevard, Rochester, NY 14606, for two Fisher Minute Mount 2 stainless steel x blade as it was the low bidder. The bid amount was \$8,996.00.

Items 3, one new 16' dump box, 4, one new scissors hoist and associated hydraulics, 11, one new 2007 six-wheeled cab and chassis, 10' box with hoist hydraulics and snow plows and Item 12, one new medium-duty six-wheeled cab and chassis 4x2 will be acquired through New York State Bid contract.

## SEWER DEPARTMENT

### ITEM #1 ONE NEW 2007 LONG BOX, REGULAR CAB, 4X4 ¾ TON HEAVY DUTY PICKUP TRUCKS

Commissioner Beck recommended the bid be awarded to Spurr Chevrolet, Inc., 6325 Brockport-Spencerport Road, Brockport, NY 14420, for a 2007 Chevy Silverado Model # CK20903 for \$25,466.00. Spurr was the only bidder on this item.

### ITEM #6 ONE NEW 2007 SHORT BOX ¾ TON, HEAVY DUTY EXTENDED CAB 4X4 PICKUP TRUCK

Commissioner Beck recommended the bid be awarded to Spurr Chevrolet, Inc., 6325 Brockport-Spencerport Road, Brockport, NY 14420, for a 2007 Chevy Silverado Model # CK20753 for \$27,547.00. Spurr was the only bidder on this item.

### ITEM #7 ONE NEW 2007 NATURAL GAS-POWERED 35 KW STANDBY EMERGENCY ELECTRIC GENERATOR

Commissioner Beck recommended the bid be awarded to Cummins Northeast, 3495 Winton Place, Bldg D, Suite 2, Rochester, NY 14623 for a GGFD/OTPC as it was the low bidder. The bid amount was \$14,523.96.

ITEM #10 TWO NEW HYDRO-TURN, STRAIGHT-BLADE, STAINLESS STEEL,  
FRONT-MOUNT SNOW PLOWS

Commissioner Beck recommended that the bid be awarded to Thruway Spring, 1609 Mt. Read Boulevard, Rochester, NY 14606, for two Fisher Minute Mount 2 stainless steel x blade as it was the low bidder. The bid amount was \$7,800.00.

HIGHWAY

ITEM #9 ONE NEW 2007 MIDSIZE 5 PASSENGER 4X4 SUV

Commissioner Beck recommended the bid be awarded to Van Bortel Ford, Inc., 7325 Rte 96, Victor, NY 14564 for a 2008 Ford Escape XLT as it was the low bidder. The bid amount was \$19,890.00.

SUPERVISOR

ITEM #8 ONE NEW 2007 COMPACT 5-PASSENGER 4X4 SUV

The Commissioner recommended the bid be awarded to Genesee Valley Ford LLC, 1675 Interstate Drive, Avon, NY 14414 for a 2008 Ford Escape Limited. The bid amount was \$22,387.00.

The Commissioner will solicit quotes for Item 13, a new sewer lateral camera system.

Supervisor Smith said that purchase of the Supervisor's car was budgeted in 2006 but not completed until 2007. He said the 2007 budget needed to be amended to cover the purchase by increasing the Supervisor's Equipment Line of the General Fund and the Unappropriated Fund Balance each by \$22,500.

A motion was made by Councilperson Glossner, seconded by Councilperson Saum, that the Department of Public Works bids be awarded as recommended by Commissioner Beck and the 2007 budget be amended as requested.

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum, LaFay

Nays: None

Unanimously approved

Councilperson Saum made a motion, seconded by Councilperson LaFay, that the Supervisor be authorized to draw a check in the amount of \$8,547.27, payable to Constance D. Allen, Receiver of Taxes, Town of Perinton and a check for \$1 to Raymond Parrotta, Receiver of Taxes, Town of East Rochester, for 2007 Town and County taxes.

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum, LaFay

Nays: None

Unanimously approved

Commissioner Beck reported that, on June 28, 2006, the Town Board entered into a contract with Clough Harbour & Associates to conduct field investigations, review available data and consult with professional organizations to determine the cause of honeycombing and circumferential cracks in concrete storm sewer piping installed in the Wisteria Grove and Willingate subdivisions and compile general recommendations for repair or replacement of damaged pipe. Clough Harbour has completed its research; the Town is now prepared to enter into a settlement agreement with Metrose Home Realty, the builders of the subdivisions and MRI Contractors of New York, the constructors of site utilities in the subdivisions. He asked the Board to approve the Settlement Agreement of January 10, 2007.

Councilperson Saum made a motion, seconded by Councilperson La Fay, that the Town of Perinton enter into a Settlement Agreement, dated January 10, 2007, with MRI Contractors of New York and Metrose Home Realty, Inc. which stipulates in part that MRI Contractors will either perform services or contract with another person or company to perform the services for repairing pipe cracks or ill -fitting joints with respect to the concrete pipes in the subdivisions. Said repair work will be performed at the expense of MRI Contractors. Said repair work will be performed according to the process detailed in the repair log from MRI to the Town of Perinton, dated October 23, 2006. MRI will deliver to Metrose and the Town of Perinton a two year maintenance bond on the repair work. Prior to the expiration of the two year maintenance bond on the repair work, the Town of Perinton will inspect or contract to have inspected the storm sewer pipe in the subdivision by means of televising. Said inspection shall be undertaken to confirm that no further deterioration of the concrete pipe has occurred, the self-healing cracks are healing and any repairs completed by MRI Contractors or their contractors are functioning satisfactorily.

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum, LaFay  
Nays: None  
Unanimously approved

A motion was made by Councilperson Saum, seconded by Councilperson LaFay, that the reports from the Finance Director, Town Clerk and the Building Department for the month of December 2006 be approved.

Ayes: Smith, Glossner, Saum, LaFay  
Nays: None  
Unanimously approved

There being no further business before the Board and no further questions from the audience, the meeting was adjourned at 9:30 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan C. Roberts  
Town Clerk