

PERINTON TOWN BOARD MEETING
1350 Turk Hill Road, Fairport, NY 14450
Wednesday, December 10, 2014

PRESENT: Michael G. Barker Supervisor
Joseph H. LaFay Councilperson
Peg S. Havens Councilperson
Steven C. Van Vreede Councilperson
Ciaran T. Hanna Councilperson

ALSO PRESENT: Robert Place, Esq., Town Attorney; Thomas C. Beck, Commissioner of Public Works; Jennifer A. West, Town Clerk; Jeffrey Myers, Commissioner of Recreation and Parks; Michael Doser, Director of Code Enforcement and Development; Kevin Spacher, Finance Director; T.C. Lewis, Planning Board.

Supervisor Barker called the meeting to order at 8:00 pm and introduced the Board and staff present.

Councilperson Havens made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Hanna, that the minutes of the Town Board meeting of November 19, 2014 be approved as submitted by the Town Clerk.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

PUBLIC HEARING
EXTENSION # 59 TO PERINTON
CONSOLIDATED SEWER DISTRICT #8
CREEKSTONE DEVELOPMENT

TOWN OF PERINTON MONROE COUNTY NEW YORK

In the Matter of the Establishment of
EXTENSION No. 59 to PERINTON
CONSOLIDATED SEWER DISTRICT No. 8

HEARING
MINUTES &
DETERMINATION

(Creekstone Development)

At a hearing before the Town Board of the Town of Perinton, held at the Town Hall, 1350 Turk Hill Road, Fairport, New York, on December 10, 2014 at 8 p.m., local time, there were

PRESENT: Supervisor Michael G. Barker; Councilpersons Joseph LaFay, Peg S. Havens, Stephen VanVreede and Ciaran Hanna.

ABSENT: None

ALSO PRESENT: Jennifer West, Town Clerk
Thomas C. Beck, Commissioner of Public Works
Robert M. Place, Town Attorney

The Supervisor called the hearing to order and stated that the purposes thereof was to consider the establishment of Extension No. 59 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 to include Creekstone Development.

The Supervisor called for the presentation of documentary evidence necessary for the Town Board to make the investigations and determinations required by statute. The following documents were submitted in evidence.

1. The original Petition, pursuant to Article 12 of the Town Law for the

creation of Extension No. 59 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8, dated December 9, 2014 to include the area described in said Petition as hereinafter set forth signed by the owners of taxable property situate in the proposed Extension.

2. A Petition map dated June 11, 2014 made by Costich Engineering, competent engineers, duly licensed by the State of New York, showing the area of the proposed extension to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8.

3. Assessor's Certificate of Nicholas Morabito, Assessor, Town of Perinton, dated October 20, 2014 certifying the total assessed valuation represented by the Petition and by the signatures to the Petition as stated herein.

4. The Order for this hearing made by the Town Board on November 12, 2014.

5. The Affidavit of Publication of the Perinton-Fairport Post showing publication of a certified copy of the Order for Hearing on November 20, 2014.

6. The Affidavit of Jennifer West, showing that a copy of the Order for Hearing was posted on the Sign Board maintained by the Town Clerk on November 20, 2014.

7. Creekstone Development was granted a negative declaration under SEQR by the Town Board on March 26, 2014, which is made a part of these Hearing Minutes and Determination.

The Supervisor directed that the foregoing documentary evidence, if not already filed, be filed with the Town Clerk and considered a part of the record of this hearing.

The Supervisor explained the procedure necessary for information of the extension.

The Supervisor asked if there were any questions in regard to the formation of the Extension. There were none. The Supervisor declared the hearing closed and directed the Town Board to consider the statements made at the hearing and after thorough discussion and due deliberation, the following Resolution was offered by Councilperson LaFay and was seconded by Councilperson Van Vreede:

WHEREAS, the Board has examined the statements made in the Petition, the signatures and acknowledgments and maps attached thereto, the Certificate of the Assessor as to the assessed valuation involved in the proposed Extension, the proofs of posting and publication, and has considered all other documents and statements presented to it and has examined into whether all of the property to be benefited by the proposed Extension was included therein and whether any property has been included therein which would not be benefited, and

WHEREAS, the Petition is signed by the owners of taxable real property situate in the proposed Extension owning in the aggregate more than fifty (50%) of the assessed valuation of all taxable real property located within the area of the proposed Extension as the same is shown on the latest completed Assessment Roll for said Town, and

WHEREAS, there are no resident owners of taxable real property situated in the proposed Extension.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS DETERMINED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Petition for the creation of Extension No. 59 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 to include the real property described herein, dated December 9, 2014 is signed by, acknowledged or approved as required by law and is otherwise sufficient.

2. All the property and property owners within the proposed Extension are benefited thereby.

3. All the property and property owners benefited are included within the limits of the proposed Extension.

4. It is in the public interest to grant all of the relief sought in the Petition without amendment or modification.

5. All expenses of the district, including all extensions heretofore or hereafter established, shall be a charge against the entire area of the district as extended.

6. The Petition is signed by the owners of the taxable real property situated in the proposed extension owning in the aggregate more than fifty (50%) of the assessed valuation of all taxable real property located within the area of the proposed extension as the same is shown on the latest completed Assessment Roll for said Town.

7. That there are no resident owners of taxable real property located within the area of the proposed Extension.

8. The proposed action will not have a significant effect on the environment and will not require environmental review under Part 617 of the NYSEQR regulation, Article 8.

9. The area determined to be created as Extension No. 59 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 is described as follows:

All that tract or parcel of land situate in part of Town Lot 22, Township 12, Range 4, Phelps and Gorham Purchase, Town of Perinton, County of Monroe, State of New York, as shown on a map entitled "Sanitary Sewer Extension Map" prepared by Costich Engineering, dated June 11, 2014, having Drawing No. 1978-SAN and being more particularly bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at a point being the southwest corner of lands now or formerly owned by Patrick Nilsen & Elizabeth Schenk having T.A # 166.20-02-21 and the northwest corner of lands now or formerly owned by PM Development of Rochester LP having T.A. # 180.08-01-03.111, said point also being a point on the east right-of-way line of Mason Road (66' R.O.W.); thence

1. N88°15'27"E, a distance of 1366.83 feet to a point; thence
2. S01°55'18"E, a distance of 1935.96 feet to a point on said north bounds of Pittsford-Palmyra Road State Route 31; thence
3. N83°50'30"W, and along said north bounds of Pittsford-Palmyra Road State Route 31, a distance of 47.63 feet to a point; thence
4. S89°18'18"W, and along said north bounds of Pittsford-Palmyra Road State Route 31, a distance of 439.05 feet to a point; thence
5. N01°47'57"W, a distance of 1045.31 feet to a point; thence
6. S88°31'03"W, a distance of 305.14 feet to a point; thence
7. S83°40'03"W, a distance of 110.37 feet to a point; thence
8. S89°40'03"W, a distance of 185.27 feet to a point; thence
9. S33°13'43"W, a distance of 34.93 feet to a point; thence
10. S55°19'03"W, a distance of 354.77 feet to a point on said east bounds of Mason Road; thence
11. N00°00'33"E, and along said east bounds of Mason Road a distance of 543.14 feet to a point; thence
12. N86°10'03"E, a distance of 119.43 feet to a point; thence

13. N00°26'03"E, a distance of 161.62 feet to a point; thence
14. S87°14'10"W, a distance of 120.52 feet to a point on said east bounds of Mason Road; thence
15. N00°00'33"E, and along said east bounds of Mason Road a distance of 243.30 feet to a point; thence
16. N00°26'57"W, and along said east bounds of Mason Road a distance of 150.74 feet to the point and place of beginning. Containing 39.897 acres of land, more or less.

The foregoing described land is shown on a map entitled "Sanitary Sewer Extension Map", dated June 11, 2014 made by Costich Engineering, competent engineers, duly licensed by the State of New York, showing the boundaries of the proposed Extension No. 59 with existing sanitary sewers belonging to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 and indicating the transmission of said sewage through existing mains to the Irondequoit Interceptor sewer for transmission to the Van Lare Sewage Treatment Plant which is operated by the Monroe County Pure Waters Agency. There is an existing contract between the Town of Perinton and the Monroe County Pure Waters Agency for transmission and treatment of sewage.

10. That a final Order creating Extension No. 59 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 will not be adopted until all legal and engineering costs and necessary disbursements for creation of said Extension have been paid to the Town of Perinton.

On roll call, directed by the Supervisor, the following votes were recorded:

AYES: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
NAYS: None

Whereupon the Supervisor declared the foregoing determination and resolution of approval of the creation of Extension No. 59 of to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 adopted.

PUBLIC HEARING
EXTENSION # 60 TO PERINTON
CONSOLIDATED SEWER DISTRICT #8
BASIN VIEW

TOWN OF PERINTON MONROE COUNTY NEW YORK

In the Matter of the Establishment of
EXTENSION No. 60 to PERINTON
CONSOLIDATED SEWER DISTRICT No. 8

HEARING
MINUTES &
DETERMINATION

(Basin View)

At a hearing before the Town Board of the Town of Perinton, held at the Town Hall, 1350 Turk Hill Road, Fairport, New York, on December 10, 2014 at 8 p.m., local time, there were

PRESENT: Supervisor Michael G. Barker; Councilpersons Joseph LaFay,
Peg S. Havens, Stephen VanVreede and Ciaran Hanna.

ABSENT: None

ALSO PRESENT: Jennifer West, Town Clerk
Thomas C. Beck, Commissioner of Public Works
Robert M. Place, Town Attorney

The Supervisor called the hearing to order and stated that the purposes thereof was to consider the establishment of Extension No. 60 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 to include Basin View.

The Supervisor called for the presentation of documentary evidence necessary for the Town Board to make the investigations and determinations required by statute. The following documents were submitted in evidence.

1. The original Petition, pursuant to Article 12 of the Town Law for the creation of Extension No. 60 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8, dated December 8, 2014 to include the area described in said Petition as hereinafter set forth signed by the owners of taxable property situate in the proposed Extension.

2. A Petition map dated August 18, 2014 made by Edward Summerhays, competent surveyors, duly licensed by the State of New York, showing the area of the proposed extension to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8.

3. Assessor's Certificate of Nicholas Morabito, Assessor, Town of Perinton, dated October 20, 2014 certifying the total assessed valuation represented by the Petition and by the signatures to the Petition as stated herein.

4. The Order for this hearing made by the Town Board on November 12, 2014.

5. The Affidavit of Publication of the Perinton-Fairport Post showing publication of a certified copy of the Order for Hearing on November 20, 2014.

6. The Affidavit of Jennifer West, showing that a copy of the Order for Hearing was posted on the Sign Board maintained by the Town Clerk on November 20, 2014.

7. Basin View was granted a negative declaration under SEQR as part of the preliminary subdivision approval by the Planning Board on March 5, 2014, which is made a part of these Hearing Minutes and Determination recommending that the Town Board register and file a negative environmental declaration for this project.

The Supervisor directed that the foregoing documentary evidence, if not already filed, be filed with the Town Clerk and considered a part of the record of this hearing.

The Supervisor explained the procedure necessary for information of the extension.

The Supervisor asked if there were any questions in regard to the formation of the Extension. There were none. The Supervisor declared the hearing closed and directed the Town Board to consider the statements made at the hearing and after thorough discussion and due deliberation, the following Resolution was offered by Councilperson LaFay and was seconded by Councilperson Van Vreede:

WHEREAS, the Board has examined the statements made in the Petition, the signatures and acknowledgments and maps attached thereto, the Certificate of the Assessor as to the assessed valuation involved in the proposed Extension, the proofs of posting and publication, and has considered all other documents and statements presented to it and has examined into whether all of the property to be benefited by the proposed Extension was included therein and whether any property has been included therein which would not be benefited, and

WHEREAS, the Petition is signed by the owners of taxable real property situate in the proposed Extension owning in the aggregate more than fifty (50%) of the assessed valuation of all taxable real property located within the area of the proposed Extension as the same is shown on the latest completed Assessment Roll for said Town, and

WHEREAS, there are no resident owners of taxable real property situated in the proposed Extension.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS DETERMINED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Petition for the creation of Extension No. 60 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 to include the real property described herein, dated December 8, 2014, is signed by, acknowledged or approved as required by law and is otherwise sufficient.
2. All the property and property owners within the proposed Extension are benefited thereby.
3. All the property and property owners benefited are included within the limits of the proposed Extension.
4. It is in the public interest to grant all of the relief sought in the Petition without amendment or modification.
5. All expenses of the district, including all extensions heretofore or hereafter established, shall be a charge against the entire area of the district as extended.
6. The Petition is signed by the owners of the taxable real property situated in the proposed extension owning in the aggregate more than fifty (50%) of the assessed valuation of all taxable real property located within the area of the proposed extension as the same is shown on the latest completed Assessment Roll for said Town.
7. That there are no resident owners of taxable real property located within the area of the proposed Extension.
8. The proposed action will not have a significant effect on the environment and will not require environmental review under Part 617 of the NYSEQR regulation, Article 8.
9. The area determined to be created as Extension No. 60 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 is described as follows:

All that tract or parcel of land situated in Town Lot 63, Township 12, Range 4 in the Phelps and Gorham Purchase, Town of Perinton, Monroe County, New York State as shown on a map entitled "Sanitary Sewer Extension Map" prepared by Edwin A. Summerhays dated August 18, 2014, and being more particularly bounded and described as follows:

Beginning at the northeasterly corner of lands conveyed to Longwell Builders, LLC (T.A. No. 179.09-02-024.1) in a deed filed in the Monroe County Clerk's Office in liber 10107, page 226, said point being on the southerly boundary line of East Jefferson Road, thence;

1. S 55°36'23" W along the easterly line of said parcel a distance of 490.37 feet to a point, thence the following two (2) courses along the southerly line of said parcel;
2. N 62°13'24" W a distance of 370.63 feet to a point, thence;
3. N 88°29'42" W a distance of 140.75 feet to a point on the westerly line of said parcel, thence;
4. N 0°44'42" W along said westerly line a distance of 688.00 feet to a point on the southerly boundary line of East Jefferson Road, thence the following five (5) courses along said southerly boundary line;
5. S 77°34'22" E a distance of 116.02 feet to a point, thence;
6. N 25°03'48" E a distance of 83.50 feet to a point, thence;
7. S 46°20'39" E a distance of 606.06 feet to a point, thence;
8. S 26°19'48" W a distance of 66.00 feet to a point, thence;

9. S 63°40'12" E a distance of 361.87 feet to the Point of Beginning. Intending to describe the land conveyed to Longwell Builders, LLC in a deed filed in the Monroe County Clerk's Office in liber 10107, page 226 and being 9.772 acres in size.

The foregoing described land is shown on a map entitled "Sanitary Sewer Extension Map", dated August 18, 2014 made by Edward Summerhays, duly licensed by the State of New York, showing the boundaries of the proposed Extension No. 60 with existing sanitary sewers belonging to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 and indicating the transmission of said sewage through existing mains to the Irondequoit Interceptor sewer for transmission to the Van Lare Sewage Treatment Plant which is operated by the Monroe County Pure Waters Agency. There is an existing contract between the Town of Perinton and the Monroe County Pure Waters Agency for transmission and treatment of sewage.

10. That a final Order creating Extension No. 60 to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 will not be adopted until all legal and engineering costs and necessary disbursements for creation of said Extension have been paid to the Town of Perinton.

On roll call, directed by the Supervisor, the following votes were recorded:

AYES: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna

NAYS: None

Whereupon the Supervisor declared the foregoing determination and resolution of approval of the creation of Extension No. 60 of to Perinton Consolidated Sewer District No. 8 adopted.

PUBLIC HEARING
SPECIAL USE PERMIT
VAN BORTEL FORD
71 MARSH ROAD

Supervisor Barker called the Public Hearing to order and asked the Clerk for proof of publication and affidavit of posting. Proof of publication was given in the Fairport ER Post on November 13, 2014; affidavit of posting was also November 13, 2014.

Rick Mitchell of Mitchell Architecture spoke on behalf of the applicant, Van Bortel Ford. Mr. Mitchell explained adjacent to the Van Bortel Ford business is a building which has been vacant for at least 30 years. He added that the DEC has taken over jurisdictional control of the site as it is a State Superfund site and any disturbance to the property must be conducted according to an approved site management plan dated March of 2013. Mr. Mitchell stated that the project goal is to restore the site and building to an operable condition (in conjunction with the DEC). A new sanitary line would also need to be installed and connected to the public sewer. The Van Bortels would like use the building for truck servicing and detailing of cars. They do not intend to use this location for customer related activities at this time.

Councilperson Van Vreede asked about the number of bays in the building and Mr. Mitchell stated that it has four bays on the north side and two on the south side and one drive through bay. The main building has a drive through across the back of the building.

There being no further questions from the Board or the audience, and all those wishing to be heard having been heard, the Public Hearing was closed.

Councilperson Van Vreede made a motion, seconded by Councilperson LaFay to refer the application for a Special Use Permit to allow truck servicing and detailing of cars at 71 Marsh Road to the Town Planning and Conservation Boards.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

PUBLIC HEARING
SPECIAL USE PERMIT
FAIRPORT PUBLIC LIBRARY
1 VILLAGE LANDING

Supervisor Barker called the Public Hearing to order and asked the Clerk for proof of publication and affidavit of posting. Proof of publication was given in the Fairport ER Post on November 20, 2014; affidavit of posting was also November 20, 2014.

Betsy Gilbert, the Director of the Fairport Public Library, stated that they would like to temporarily use the space at 30 Perinton Hills Plaza for the library while they are renovating their current space. Ms. Gilbert stated that this would be for approximately nine months and that this space was the only option which met the space needs and was available on a temporary basis. The space is approximately 13,000 sq. ft. and will house 80% of the library collection. The current library is 24,000 sq. ft. They will also be renting two spaces in Village Landing to house the library programs and staff office space. Ms. Gilbert stated that they would have a book drop box at Perinton Hills Plaza near the door to their temporary location.

There being no questions from the Board or the audience, and all those wishing to be heard having been heard, the Public Hearing was closed.

Councilperson Havens put forth the following resolution, seconded by Councilperson LaFay:

WHEREAS, the proposed use of space at 30 Perinton Hills Plaza, 6687 Pittsford-Palmyra Road, is consistent with the uses and character of the area, and utilizes an existing building; and

WHEREAS, the proposed use of space at 30 Perinton Hills Plaza, 6687 Pittsford-Palmyra Road, does not affect any wetlands or other protected environmental features and natural resources; and

WHEREAS, the proposed use of space at 30 Perinton Hills Plaza, 6687 Pittsford-Palmyra Road, will not result in a substantial increase in traffic or noise above present levels; and

WHEREAS, the proposed use of space at 30 Perinton Hills Plaza, 6687 Pittsford-Palmyra Road, received a letter of support from the Planning Board, dated December 4th, 2014;

WHEREAS, the proposed use of space at 30 Perinton Hills Plaza, 6687 Pittsford-Palmyra Road, was reviewed by the Conservation Board on December 2nd, 2014;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that this action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impact.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

Councilperson Van Vreede made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Hanna to approve the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the application for a special use permit allowing a temporary public library operation at 30 Perinton Hills Plaza, 6687 Pittsford-Palmyra Road (parcel ID 165.20-3-54.1) was heard by the Town Board on December 10th, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the applicant's proposed use was discussed by the Planning Board on December 3rd, 2014, and received a letter of unanimous support, dated December 4th, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the applicant's proposed use was reviewed by the Conservation Board on December 2nd, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the applicant's proposed use is consistent with the following objective of the 2011 Town of Perinton Comprehensive Plan:

1. Attracting commercial development in existing centers, including opportunities for infill, redevelopment;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the requested special use permit is consistent with §208-54 of the Town Code of Perinton and that a special use permit be issued for the allowance of a temporary public library operation at 30 Perinton Hills Plaza, 6687 Pittsford-Palmyra Road (parcel ID 165.20-3-54.1), under the following conditions:

1. A building permit is to be issued for interior remodel of the space.
2. A fire safety inspection is to be conducted by the Town of Perinton Fire Marshal.
3. A Certificate of Occupancy is to be issued before the temporary operation opens to the public.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

DECISION
MODIFICATION TO SECTION 208-52 OF THE CODE OF THE TOWN OF
PERINTON
PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

Town Attorney Place stated that the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code aims to clarify and streamline the process, eliminating an applicant's appearance before the appropriate boards, prior to formal acceptance of an application by the Town Board. The appropriate boards will still have ample time to review and study a proposed project under this proposal.

The revised Section 208-52 of the Code of the Town of Perinton follows:

Chapter 208. ZONING

Article IX. Open Space Preservation/Planned Development Districts

§ 208-52. Planned Development District (PDD).

A. Intent.

(1) The intent of this district is to permit the development of land for specialized purposes where tracts of land suitable in location, area and character for the uses and structures proposed are to be planned and developed on a unified basis. Suitability of land proposed for such development shall be guided by the Comprehensive Plan, other plans and official policies used to guide development in the Town, and the existing and prospective character of surrounding land uses. The application of a planned development district shall result in development with certain advantages over that which would be obtained under conventional zoning; result in the preservation and enhancement of the natural, cultural or historic features of the site; result in land uses and physical site arrangements which are not contemplated under conventional zoning but which would further the development goals of

the Town; reduce improvement costs through more efficient arrangement of varied land uses, buildings, circulation systems and infrastructure; and result in the promotion of the general health, safety and welfare of the Town.

(2) Where planned development is deemed appropriate through the rezoning of land to a Planned Development District by the Town Board, the set of use and dimensional specifications elsewhere in the zoning regulations are herein replaced by an approval process in which an approved development plan becomes the basis for continuing land use controls.

B. Permitted uses. All uses allowed within an area designated as a PDD are determined by the provisions of this section and the approval of the project.

(1) Residential uses. In developing a balanced community, the use of a variety of housing types and densities shall be deemed most in keeping with this article.

(2) Accessory commercial, service and other nonresidential uses. Commercial, service and other nonresidential uses may be permitted in residential zones (or required) where such uses are scaled primarily to serve the residents of the PDD and the immediate surrounding area. In general the uses first identified within the Mixed Use Zoning District are considered appropriate. Consideration shall be given to the project as it exists in its larger setting in determining the appropriateness of such uses. In addition to residential uses, if the proposed project is located in a nonresidential zone, then the uses permitted in those districts are considered appropriate.

(3) Public building and grounds. Public buildings and grounds, as defined within this Code, shall be deemed to be a permitted use within the PDD.

C. Basic requirements.

(1) Planned Development Districts may be established by amendment to the Official Zoning Map, and may be approved in any developed or undeveloped areas of the Town where appropriate conditions exist.

(2) An application must be filed by the owner or jointly by owners of all properties to be included in the district. All approved plans shall be binding on all successors in interest of the applicants.

(3) The site shall be suitable for development in the manner proposed without hazards to persons or property, on or off the site, from probability of flooding, erosion, subsidence or slipping of the soil or other dangers, annoyances or inconveniences. Soil conditions, groundwater level, drainage and topography and other factors shall all be appropriate to support both the kind and pattern of the intended use.

(4) All uses within an area designated as a Planned Development District are determined by the provisions of this section and the approved site plan of the subject project.

(5) The appropriate types of uses within the Planned Development District shall be guided by the Comprehensive Plan goals and objectives.

(6) The planned development regulations that follow shall apply generally to the initiation and regulation of all Planned Development Districts. The new regulations shall apply within the Planned Development District, where for a particular application, general zoning, subdivision or other regulations or requirements are waived or altered.

D. Design standards.

(1) The Town of Perinton Design Criteria and Construction Specifications for land development are adopted herein by reference, and shall establish the standard for project design and construction as appropriate.

(2) Tract perimeter standards. All dimensional requirements of conventional zoning districts shall apply to the perimeter of planned development projects on the sides where said planned development project abuts a conventional zoning district; these shall include setbacks and buffering requirements.

(3) General site development guidelines. These guidelines provide some direction to the designer to understand the maximum intensity of lot coverage envisioned by this Code; if the designer exceeds these guidelines he must provide a written rationale supported by the Comprehensive Plan's goals and objectives to substantiate the design.

(a) Maximum building coverage shall not exceed 35% of the total site or parcel area.

(b) Maximum coverage by all buildings, structures, parking areas and impervious surfaces shall not exceed 65% of the total site or parcel area.

(c) Maximum building height shall be 40 feet, unless the Town Board finds that some greater height is reasonable and appropriate given the location of the development, the terrain involved and the nature of the development.

(d) Setbacks from public rights-of-way, private drives, structures and interior lot lines etc., shall be proposed by the designer. The Town Board shall approve such setbacks and these shall become binding upon the district.

(4) Standards for off-street parking, loading and signs for planned development district uses shall be guided by those for equivalent or similar uses in conventional zoning districts, but may be modified to better achieve site development objectives, during the site plan and subdivision approval process. If the designer proposes a variation from these conventional standards, they shall be presented as part of the district and approved by the Town Board.

E. Application procedure.

(1) It is the intent of this section to allow Planned Development Districts based on three phases of review.

(a) The first step is for the applicant to make an application to the Town Board for rezoning to a Planned Development District.

[1] The application is expected to be accompanied by conceptual plans in which the uses, building footprints, internal vehicular and pedestrian circulation, utility layouts, architectural treatment, setbacks, existing and proposed grades, landscaping, parking, lighting, signing, and other design objectives and standards for the district are shown.

[2] The concept (or sketch) plan shall be to scale, though it need not be to the precision of a finished engineering drawing. The application shall explain and show the following information.

- (a) Location and extent of all proposed land uses, with areas in acres, as well as any proposed open space including the development guidelines proposed for setbacks, building size, lot coverage, parking, impervious surfaces and other similar land use restrictions found within the Zoning Code.
 - (b) All interior streets, roads, easements and their planned public or private ownership, as well as all points of ingress and egress from existing public rights-of-way.
 - (c) An area map showing the applicant's entire holdings and adjacent properties; that portion of the applicant's property under consideration; all properties, subdivisions, streets, easements, watercourses, LDD and other significant natural and built features within 500 feet of the applicant's property; and all uses and zoning of abutting lands.
 - (d) If residential in nature, description of the number of residential units, their dwelling type, number of stories, the overall architectural style and the overall density of the proposal. If nonresidential in nature, the number of stories, the range of building footprints, the total impervious surface, the architectural style and guidelines and the overall density of the proposal.
 - (e) The area water and sanitary sewer systems with proposed points of attachment to existing systems; the proposed storm water drainage system and its relation to existing systems.
 - (f) Description of the manner in which any common areas that are not to become publicly owned are to be maintained, including open space, streets, lighting and other considerations relevant to the proposal.
 - (g) If the development is to be phased, a description and graphic representation of the phasing of the entire proposal in terms of length of time, type and number of units or activities completed per phase.
 - (h) A description of any covenants, easements, restrictions proposed to be imposed upon the use of the land, buildings or structures, including proposed easements for public utilities.
 - (i) A written statement by the applicant setting forth the reasons why, in their opinion, the proposal would be in the public interest and would be consistent with the Town's goals and objectives.
 - (j) A long-form environmental assessment form (EAF)
- [3] If the Town Board accepts the application, it will hold a public hearing on the rezoning request. After the public hearing, the Town Board shall refer the application to the Planning Board for review and recommendation. When required by § 239-e et seq. of the General Municipal Law, the applications shall be forwarded to the County Planning Board for its review. The Town Board and/or Planning Board may also refer the application to the Conservation Board, Town Engineer and Historic Architecture Commission as well as other local and county officials, representatives of federal and state agencies and consultants.
- (a) The Planning Board report and recommendation to the Town Board shall include the following findings:
 - (i) The suitability of the tract(s) for the general type of development proposed, the physical characteristics of the land and the relation of the proposed development to surrounding existing and probable future development.

(ii) The adequacy of major roads, utilities and other facilities and services to serve the development.

(iii) That the proposal is conceptually sound and that it meets local and area-wide needs and it conforms to accepted design principles in the proposed functional roadway and pedestrian system, land use configuration, open space system, hiking trail system and drainage system.

(iv) The plans are consistent with the Town's Comprehensive Plan's goals and objectives and/or other plans or policies used to guide development in the Town.

(b) The Conservation Board's reports and recommendations, provided during preliminary review by the Planning Board, should consider all pertinent environmental issues.

(b) After receipt and review of any reports and recommendations, the Town Board shall determine whether the application has merit and should be sent to the Planning Board for site plan approval and subdivision approval (if requested). The submission to the Planning Board may be made only after the Town Board finds that the proposed district has community value and that the development area has adequate resources and public facilities, including transportation, water supply, waste disposal and fire protection to handle the development being proposed.

[1] After the Planning Board has had its initial public hearing, the Planning Board and the Conservation Board will make their SEQRA recommendations to the Town Board. The Town Board will then make a SEQRA determination utilizing coordinated review with the other involved Town Boards. Once the applicant has obtained a favorable SEQRA determination, the applicant will complete the site plan approval and subdivision approval process (if requested) with the Planning Board. If the project is in an historic district or includes a designated landmark, the applicant must also obtain a certificate of appropriateness from the Historic Architecture Commission.

(c) After the applicant receives the necessary site plan and subdivision approvals from the Planning Board and any required certificates from the Historic Architecture Commission, the applicant will return to the Town Board for final rezoning approval. Upon receiving final rezoning approval, the Town's Official Zoning Map shall be amended to reflect the change in zoning. The Town Board may, if it feels it is necessary, in order to protect the public health, safety and welfare of the community, attach to its zoning resolution any additional conditions or requirements for the applicant to meet.

G. Once the Town Board has granted the rezoning request, the applicant shall have one year to commence significant development on the site. Failure to commence significant site development within one year shall cause the land to revert to the original zoning classification(s).

H. For the purpose of regulating development and use of Planned Development District property after initial construction and occupancy, any changes other than tenant changes shall be subject to site plan review by the Planning Board. If use changes, or modifications to the established zoning restrictions placed upon the district under the original amendment are requested, these shall be processed as special use permits granted by the Town Board in addition to site plan approval by the Planning Board. It shall be noted, however, that properties lying within planned development districts are unique and shall be so considered by the Planning Board or Town Board when evaluating these requests; and maintenance of the intent and function of the planned development shall be of primary importance.

I. Required modifications during subdivision or site plan approval. If in the subdivision or site plan review process it becomes apparent that certain elements of the application, as it

has been approved by the Town Board, are in need of modification, the applicant shall present a proposed solution. The Town Board shall then determine by resolution whether or not the modified plan is still in keeping with the intent of the zoning resolution.

J. Minor building additions to single-family residential units within the PDD, up to 15% of the original footprint may be permitted through the normal permitting process. Additions in excess of 15% shall receive a site plan approval from the Planning Board.

Councilperson Van Vreede put forth the following SEQRA resolution, seconded by Councilperson Havens:

WHEREAS, the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code is a modification of existing zoning code, a purely administrative act that will not physically alter land directly; and

WHEREAS, individual properties that are proposed to be developed in accordance with the proposed zoning, will be subject to SEQR review, during which physical alterations will also have to meet the SEQR standards and criteria for determining significant adverse environmental impacts; and

WHEREAS, the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code will streamline the PDD process, resulting in fewer applicant board appearances, and thereby reducing traffic and greenhouse gas emissions; and

WHEREAS, the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code received a letter of unanimous support from the Planning Board, dated December 4th, 2014;

WHEREAS, the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code was reviewed by the Conservation Board on November 18th, 2014;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that this action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impact.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

Councilperson LaFay made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Havens to approve the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code was heard by the Town Board on November 19th, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code was discussed by the Planning Board on December 3rd, 2014, and received a letter of unanimous support, dated December 4th, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code was reviewed by the Conservation Board on November 18th, 2014; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the proposed modification of the Planned Development District code is consistent with Town goals and objectives, and be approved.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

DECISION
SPECIAL USE PERMIT
MALCHO'S CAR WASH
650 MOSELEY ROAD

Town Attorney Place stated that Kenneth Malcho applied for a Special Use Permit Under Town Code §208-41A(5)(d) and the Town Board held a public hearing on November 19th, 2014, to consider the special use permit to allow replacement and expansion of Mr. Malcho's car wash operation at 650 Moseley Road (parcel ID 166.17-2-29). The Planning Board discussed the application at its meeting on Dec. 3 and the Conservation Board discussed the application on Dec. 2.

Councilperson Van Vreede put forth the following SEQRA resolution, seconded by Councilperson Hanna:

WHEREAS, the proposed use of Malcho's Mobil Gas Station, 650 Moseley Rd., is consistent with the uses and character of the area; and

WHEREAS, the proposed use of Malcho's Mobil Gas Station, 650 Moseley Rd., does not affect any wetlands or other protected environmental features and natural resources; and

WHEREAS, the proposed use of Malcho's Mobil Gas Station, 650 Moseley Rd., will not result in a substantial increase in traffic or noise above present levels; and

WHEREAS, the proposed use of Malcho's Mobil Gas Station, 650 Moseley Rd., will result in site improvements that improve traffic circulation around the property and reduce the possibility of vehicle and pedestrian accidents; and

WHEREAS, the proposed use of Malcho's Mobil Gas Station, 650 Moseley Rd., will result in quicker washes, thereby reducing vehicle standing times and reducing greenhouse gas emissions; and

WHEREAS, the proposed use of Malcho's Mobil Gas Station, 650 Moseley Rd., received a letter of support from the Planning Board, dated December 4th, 2014;

WHEREAS, the proposed use of Malcho's Mobil Gas Station, 650 Moseley Rd., received a letter of support by the Conservation Board on December 9th, 2014;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that this action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impact.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

Councilperson LaFay made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Havens to approve the following resolution:

WHEREAS, the application for a special use permit allowing replacement and expansion of the car wash operation at 650 Moseley Road (parcel ID 166.17-2-29) was heard by the Town Board on November 19th, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the applicant's proposed use was discussed by the Planning Board on December 3rd, 2014, and received a letter of unanimous support, dated December 4th, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the applicant's proposed use was discussed by the Conservation Board on December 2nd, 2014, and received a letter of unanimous support, dated December 9th, 2014; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the requested special use permit is consistent with §208-54 of the Town Code of Perinton and that a special use permit be issued for

allowing replacement and expansion of the car wash operation at 650 Moseley Road (parcel ID 166.17-2-29), under the following conditions:

1. The proposal shall receive site plan approval from the Planning Board.
2. The Special Use Permit shall expire if a building permit is not issued within one year of this Town Board approval.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

APPROVE NYS DOT SNOW AND ICE CONTRACT

Commissioner Beck presented the 2015 New York State Snow and Ice Contract to the Board. He stated that the Town plows 65.46 lane miles of New York State highways and will be reimbursed for total expenditures on a time and materials basis. He asked the Board to authorize the Supervisor to sign the contract extension.

A motion was made by Councilperson Van Vreede, seconded by Councilperson Hanna, that the Supervisor be authorized to sign and that the Town Board approve the New York State Municipal Snow and Ice Agreement which states, in part:

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Transportation of the State of New York (the Commissioner) and the Town of Perinton have entered into Agreement #D139724, entitled "Snow and Ice Agreement between the New York State Department of Transportation and the Town of Perinton" dated June 28, 1978; and

WHEREAS, the term of the said agreement is for a period of three years commencing July 1, 1978 and the said agreement provides that the parties may, at the end of each year of the term of the agreement, extend such term for an additional year; and

WHEREAS, the present term of the agreement, as extended, expires June 30, 2016; and

WHEREAS, Section 7 of the said agreement provides that the Commissioner shall furnish the Town of Perinton with a suitable map for each term of the agreement, or for any extended term thereof, modified to show the changes, if any, to the state highways affected by this agreement; and

WHEREAS, Section 10 of the said agreement provides for an annual update of the estimated expenditure to be determined by the Commissioner subject to the provisions of Section 10 at the time for extension of the Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, in consideration of the mutual covenants and benefits between the parties,

1. The aforementioned "Snow and Ice Agreement Between New York State Department of Transportation and the Town of Perinton is hereby extended for a period of one year; now to expire on June 30, 2017, unless further extended.

2. The state highways or parts thereof affected by this agreement are as delineated on the attached map, agreed upon by the Commissioner and the Town of Perinton, which shall be effective for the remainder of the term of the agreement commencing July 1, 2014, unless changed by future agreement between the Commissioner and the Town of Perinton.

3. All the terms and conditions of the original contract remain in effect except as follows: the estimated expenditure as specified in Section 10 of the aforementioned agreement shall be \$3,647.5959 per lane mile for 65.46 lane miles for a total of \$238,771.63 for the 2014/2015 season and for the remainder of the term of the agreement commencing July 1, 2014, unless changed by future update.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this agreement has been executed by the State, acting by and through the duly authorized representative of the Commissioner and the Town of Perinton, which has caused this Agreement to be executed by its duly authorized officer on the date and year first above written.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

APPROVE APPOINTMENT
SEWER LABORER POSITION

DPW Commissioner Beck stated that approximately three weeks ago John Kenrick, Kevin Schroth and he interviewed several applicants to fill the vacant Laborer position that exists in the Sewer Department. Several well-qualified candidates were identified, one of them being a current Parks Department employee that desires to transfer to the DPW. Joe Briggs has been a Perinton employee since 2006, possesses his CDL and has experience in equipment operation from his time in the Parks Department as well as time spent in the DPW.

Joe has been identified as the favored candidate to fill the Laborer position due to his past experience with the Town. With the recent retirement of John Griffin and the pending retirement of Larry Crippen, both long time employees, Joe will be able to quickly fill a portion of the void created.

Commissioner Beck recommended that the Town Board approve the hiring of Joe Briggs to fill the full time Laborer position in the Sewer Department. He added that this will be a lateral transfer and therefore his hourly rate, as well as his accrued vacation and sick time will be unchanged. His anticipated start date in the Sewer Department will be January 5, 2015.

Councilperson Havens made a motion, seconded by Councilperson LaFay to approve the transfer of Joe Briggs to a full time Laborer position in the Sewer Department at a rate of \$[]/hr. with a start date of January 5, 2014.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

APPROVE APPOINTMENT
PARKS LABORER POSITION

Recreation and Parks Commissioner Myers stated that he has been working with Parks Director Stacey Estrich and Parks Foreman Scott Allen interviewing candidates for the Laborer position at the Parks Operations Center. The position will become available when Joe Briggs transfers to the Sewer Department.

Commissioner Myers recommended the appointment of Michael K. Lioudis for the non-competitive laborer civil service position. Mr. Lioudis currently works in the printing industry. He has also worked as an apartment maintenance and groundskeeper, as well as a vehicle mechanic. Commissioner Myers added that his skills and experience will complement and support the Parks mission quite well. Mr. Lioudis also possesses skills in carpentry, plumbing and electrical work.

Commissioner Myers requested that the Town Board approve Michael K. Lioudis for the full time Laborer position, effective January 5, 2015. The requested starting salary is \$[] per hour, which is included in the 2015 budget.

Councilperson Havens made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Van Vreede to approve the appointment of Michael Lioudis to a full time Parks Laborer position, effective January 5, 2014 at a rate of \$[] per hour.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

APPROVE APPOINTMENT
PART TIME CLERK
CODE ENFORCEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT

Director of Code Enforcement and Development Doser stated that his department has had a vacancy for its part-time administrative clerk position since February 2014. He added that the department relies on this position to maintain insurance filing, handle increasing property research demands, assist with issuing building permits, customer support and fill in for the Planning Board, Zoning Board and Conservation Board secretary.

Director Doser stated that the department considered five candidates for the position and is recommending Jennifer McNally to fill the position. He added that Ms. McNally has a dynamic personality with a winning attitude, and excellent communication and computer skills.

Director Doser recommended the hiring of Jennifer McNally to fill the part-time administrative clerk position in the Code Enforcement and Development Department at a rate of \$[] per hour starting on Monday January 5, 2015.

Councilperson LaFay made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Hanna to approve the hiring of Jennifer McNally to fill the part-time administrative clerk position in the Code Enforcement and Development Department at a rate of \$[] per hour starting on Monday January 5, 2015.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

AUTHORIZE 2014/15 EQUIPMENT ACQUISITIONS
DPW

DPW Commissioner Beck presented the 2015 Department of Public Works Equipment Acquisition recommendations along with the 2014-year end recommendations.

Commissioner Beck stated that the first item was let for bids by the DPW in early 2014. The bid was for a 5-ton dual drum roller. The bid was awarded to Liftech Equipment in the amount of \$54,253.21. The roller has not been delivered to date and Liftech cannot provide a delivery date. Commissioner Beck met with Liftech representatives who have explained that the delay is the result of a labor dispute in one of their manufacturing plants in Europe. Commissioner Beck explained to them that they have placed him in a position where he must request that the Town Board declare them an unresponsive bidder and cancel the order. They understand and are fine with that proposed action.

Commissioner Beck has also contacted the second low bidder that met DPW specifications and asked if they can fulfill their bid. They have indicated that they have the roller specified in the Town's bid in their possession and, since they were not awarded the bid, have rented the roller out this past summer. The roller currently has 250 hours on it, which essentially means it is almost new. They are willing to sell the Town this roller for \$51,000.00 and include the full warranty.

Commissioner Beck consulted attorney Bob Place about this and, in his opinion, the Town has legal standing to do this. Therefore, he requested that the Town Board declare Liftech a non-responsive bidder and cancel the prior order submitted to them. He also request the Board approve the acquisition of this roller from the next lowest bidder that met specifications as advertised. That bidder is Monroe Tractor and the acquisition amount will be \$51,000.00.

Councilperson LaFay made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Havens to declare Liftech a non-responsive bidder and cancel the prior order submitted to them.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

Councilperson Van Vreede made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Hanna and to approve the acquisition of a 5-ton dual drum roller from the next lowest bidder, Monroe Tractor, that met specifications as advertised, for an amount of \$51,000.00.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

Commissioner Beck stated that for the past 9 years, DPW has been trading in one of the DPW's two Bobcat Skidsteer Loaders annually for a net cost between \$3,000.00 and \$4,000.00. By doing so, the Town avoids any maintenance costs on the machine. As an example, new tires for a skid steer cost \$3,000 and must be replaced every twelve to eighteen months. Retaining the machine over a ten-year period would result in an expenditure of approximately \$25,000 for tires alone. With regular preventative maintenance costs and periodic repairs of about \$500 annually, the ten-year expenditure would easily total \$30,000.

Commissioner Beck added that this does not include the net cost to acquire a new machine at the end of a ten-year period. Trading a machine every two years maintains a fixed cost of approximately \$19,500 over the ten-year period. Because the Town has relatively low hours on both of the current machines, we have the opportunity to trade both for a total cost of \$2,000.00 this year.

Commissioner Beck stated that the Capital Equipment line in the 2014 Drainage Account currently has over \$15,000 remaining in it, allowing this trade to be funded. Since Bobcat is a designated skid steer supplier on the current NYS Bid Contract list, all pricing has been arrived at through the NYS Competitive Bid process.

Councilperson Havens made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Van Vreede to authorize the trade in of two Bobcat Skidsteer Loaders and the expenditure of \$2,000 from the Capital Equipment line in the 2014 Drainage Account to fund the trade.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

Commissioner Beck then requested that the Town Board approve the purchase of one new Front End Loader out of the 2014 Part Town Budget. He requested that the acquisition be made from Five Star Equipment in the amount of \$156,565.58 and that an additional \$1,000 expense be approved for the lighting and radio installation for a total cost of \$157,565.58. This is on the NYS Bid Contract. Commissioner Beck stated that it would be necessary to increase the 2014 Sales Tax revenue line by \$157,565.58 and increase the 2014 part-town trash expense line by the same amount.

Councilperson LaFay made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Van Vreede to authorize the purchase of a New Front End Loader for the Highway Department from Five Star Equipment through the NYS Bid Contract in the amount of \$157,565.58 and to increase the 2014 Sales Tax revenue line by \$157,565.58 and to increase the 2014 Part-Town Trash expense line by the same amount.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

Commissioner Beck then requested that the Town Board authorize him to either purchase or bid the 2015 Equipment items which include two new 2015 Heavy Duty 6-Wheel Plow Trucks, one new 2015 Super Duty Truck with Dump Body, Plow Equipment Material Controller and Hydraulics, one new Roadside Mower with Boom Mower Attachment, one used Bucket Truck, Contract for Recapped Tires and one New Medium Duty 6-Wheel Brush Truck for the Highway Department. He added that any purchases would come back before the Town Board before the purchases were made and at this point he doesn't know if any of them will need to be bid.

Commissioner Beck also requested authorization to purchase equipment for the Sewer Department including one New Track Mounted Skid Steer with Associated Buckets from the NYS bid contract in the amount of \$54,988.20, 5 FSI Pump Station Monitoring Control Systems from Phoenix Sentry in the amount of \$15,940, one New Emergency Standby Natural Gas Powered Generator for the amount of \$17,519.00 from Better Power Company and two Bobcat mounted Snow Blowers from Bobcat of the Finger Lakes in the amount of \$8,377.20. These items are included in the 2015 Sewer budget and when made will be \$8,100 under budget.

Commissioner Beck also requested that the Town Board authorize the purchase of or to authorize the bid for one New, 2015, Small SUV for the Code Enforcement and Development Office.

Councilperson LaFay made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Havens to authorize the purchase or bid of the 2015 Sewer Department and Code Enforcement and Development Department Equipment items as outlined by Commissioner Beck above.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

**APPROVE THE FOLLOWING CHANGES TO THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE
BUSHNELL'S BASIN FIRE ASSOCIATION**

A motion was made by Councilperson LaFay, seconded by Councilperson Hanna, that the following changes in the membership of the Bushnell's Basin Fire Association, Inc. be approved:

Resigned from the Department	Mr. Brian J. Ocque 53 Acorn Lane Fairport, NY 14450
	Ms. Jill Schueckler 25 Hunters Run Pittsford, NY 14534

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

AUTHORIZE CHANGE OF TAX LOCKBOX
AND TAX RECEIVER AND DEPUTY RECEIVER TO SIGN AGREEMENT

Town Clerk West stated that she has had previous conversations with the Town Board regarding switching the Town's tax processing service from Chase Bank to M&T Bank and at this time is seeking the Board's authorization to make this switch and sign the agreement with M&T Bank to do so.

M&T is willing to collect the Town's taxes at their Perinton branch on Pittsford Palmyra Road in the Courtney Commons Plaza. They would also provide the Town with a courier service which would mean consistent and timely pick up and drop off of tax payments each day and enable better planning of the workday. M&T would also allow direct contact to an individual at their tax processing center in Buffalo for questions regarding checks, payments etc.

Town Attorney Place has reviewed the contract and is recommending that the Town Board approve the contract.

Councilperson Havens made a motion, seconded by Councilperson Hanna to approve the change of tax lockbox processing to M & T Bank and to authorize the Tax Receiver and Deputy Tax Receiver to sign the agreement with M & T Bank to do so.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

APPROVE CHANGE TO THE MEMBERSHIP
OF THE EGYPT FIRE ASSOCIATION

A motion was made by Councilperson Van Vreede seconded by Councilperson LaFay, that the following change in the membership of the Egypt Fire Association be approved:

Added to Rolls	Mr. David Rompato
	19 Huxley Way
	Fairport, NY 14450

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

A motion was made by Councilperson Van Vreede, seconded by Councilperson LaFay, that the reports from the Finance Director, Town Clerk, Tax Receiver and the Code Enforcement and Development Department for the month of November 2014 be approved.

Ayes: Barker, LaFay, Havens, Van Vreede, Hanna
Nays: None
Unanimously Approved

AUDIT APPROVAL

A motion was made by Councilperson Van Vreede, seconded by Councilperson LaFay that Audit #12 for December 2014 be approved for the Town of Perinton, pursuant to Town Law, and the Town Clerk presented duly verified bills as follows:

NOVEMBER MANUAL 2

General Fund	7,356.69
Lake Lacoma Lighting Dist	14.90
Meadows Lighting Dist	454.85
Deer Run Lighting Dist	<u>53.59</u>
	\$7,880.03 Total

The above items were numbers 95671 and 95681.

DECEMBER AUDIT 1

General Fund	199,625.74
Town Outside of Village	12,157.67
Recreation	23,393.56
Highway General Repair	18,921.67
Highway Snow & Miscellaneous	26,522.48
Joint Sewer	2,529.50
Special Recreation	107,940.69
Debt Service Sewer	2,615.00
Trust and Agency	258.53
Fairmont Hills Maint Dist	1,000.00
Basin Canal Port	575.68
Jefferson Avenue Sewer	283,018.20
Indian Valley Sewer Proj	<u>58,411.69</u>
	\$736,970.41 Total

The above items were numbers 95700-95836.

DECEMBER MANUAL 1

General Fund	76,739.83
Town Outside of Village	6,592.41
Recreation	18,357.84
Joint Sewer	7,660.31
Deer Run Lighting Dist	<u>669.73</u>
	\$110,020.12 Total

The above items were numbers 95682-95686, 95688-95689.

Supervisor Barker reminded the audience that the next Town Board meeting would be on Tuesday, December 30, 2014 and would include the 2015 Organizational Meeting.

There being no further business before the Board and no further questions from the audience, the Board adjourned at 9:00 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Jennifer A. West
Town Clerk